

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILIN		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/829,066	•	04/21/2004	Frank Gong	1741 / SYMBP192US	5955
	7590	12/01/2006		EXAMINER	
Amin & Tu	•	P	AU, GARY		
National City Center 24th Floor				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1900 E. 9th	Street	•	2617		
Cleveland, OH 44114				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/829,066	GONG ET AL.	GONG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Gary Au	2617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2006.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-14 and 16-22</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8 and 15</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National Stage	<u> </u>					
application from the International Bureau	,	L						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	received.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• ——	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Informal Patent Application						

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 9/14/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 11, 16 and 22 under US Patent No. 6,950,680 Kela et al. (Kela) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of US Patent No. 6,950,680 Kela et al. (Kela) and US Patent No. 6,703,963 (Higginson).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,950,680 Kela et al. (Kela) and further in view of US Patent No. 6,703,963 (Higginson).

Considering claim 1, Kela teaches a key pad assembly (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9) comprising: a top cover placed over a stack of keypad components (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9); and a bottom cover placed under the stack (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9); the top cover and the bottom cover over molded around the

Art Unit: 2617

stack to form a key pad unit (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9). However, Kela does not teach a self contained key pad unit.

In an analogous art, Higginson teaches a self contained key pad unit (col. 6 lines 30-43).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kela's system to include a self contained key pad unit, for the advantage of adapting to different devices.

Considering claim 11, Kela teaches a method of fabricating a key pad comprising: sandwiching a plurality of key pad components between a top cover and a bottom cover (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9); and insert molding around the key pad components for an encapsulation thereof between the top cover and the bottom cover (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9). However, Kela does not teach a self contained key pad unit.

In an analogous art, Higginson teaches a self contained key pad unit (col. 6 lines 30-43).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kela's system to include a self contained key pad unit, for the advantage of adapting to different devices.

Considering claim 16, Kela teaches a key pad comprising: a stack comprising: a membrane with a plurality of keys placed thereupon (key pad membrane 36 – figure 3,

Art Unit: 2617

col. 4 lines 10-33), a printed circuit board position beneath the membrane (col. 4 lines 10-33); a top cover placed over the stack (figure 5, col. 3 line 63.— col. 4 line 9); and a bottom cover placed under the stack (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 — col. 4 line 9), the top cover and the bottom cover define a common boundary around the stack (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 — col. 4 line 9, where the side of the covers overlaps and create a sealed boundary), the common boundary over molded to encapsulate the stack between the bottom cover and the top cover (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 — col. 4 line 9). However, Kela does not teach a self contained key pad unit.

In an analogous art, Higginson teaches a self contained key pad unit (col. 6 lines 30-43).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kela's system to include a self contained key pad unit, for the advantage of adapting to different devices.

Considering claim 22, Kela teaches a key pad comprising: means for encapsulating a stack of key pad components between a top and bottom cover to form a stand alone key pad unit (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9); and means for connecting the stand alone key pad unit to a host device (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9). However, Kela does not teach a self contained key pad unit.

In an analogous art, Higginson teaches a self contained key pad unit (col. 6 lines 30-43).

Art Unit: 2617

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kela's system to include a self contained key pad unit, for the advantage of adapting to different devices.

Considering claims 2, 12 and 21, Kela teaches the top cover and the bottom sandwich the stack (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9).

Considering claims 3, 17 and 18, Kela teaches the top cover and the bottom cover are over molded to create a sealed common boundary (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9, where the side of the covers overlaps and create a sealed boundary).

Considering claim 4, Kela teaches the stack comprises a printed circuit board with a flex member (col. 4 lines 10-33), an electro luminous panel (28 – figure 3, col. 4 lines 10-33), a silicone membrane with a plurality of keys (key pad membrane 36 – figure 3, col. 4 lines 10-33), placed on top of each other (figure 4, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9).

Considering claims 5 and 14, Kela teaches the flex member provides an electrical connection between the key pad unit and a device that hosts the self contained key pad unit (col. 4 lines 10-33). However, Kela does not teach a self contained key pad unit.

Art Unit: 2617

In an analogous art, Higginson teaches a self contained key pad unit (col. 6 lines 30-43).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kela's system to include a self contained key pad unit, for the advantage of adapting to different devices.

Considering claim 10, Kela teaches an illumination color or a brightness on a surface of the keypad indicates a mode of the key pad (col. 1 lines 19-35).

Considering claim 13, Kela teaches housing a speaker in a recess of the bottom cover (figure 5).

Considering claim 20, Kela teaches the bottom cover contacts the printed circuit board (figure 5, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9).

4. Claims 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,950,680 Kela et al. (Kela) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 5,517,683 Collett et al. (Collett).

Considering claim 9, Kela teaches the key pad assembly of claim 1, but fails to disclose the top cover and bottom cover fabricated from one of polycarbonates, thermoset plastics, and thermoformed plastic.

Art Unit: 2617

In an analogous art, Collett teaches the top cover and bottom cover fabricated from polycarbonates (col. 6 lines 17-32).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kela's system to include the top cover and bottom cover fabricated from polycarbonates, as taught by Collett, for the advantage of higher impact resistance (col. 6 lines 17-32).

5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,950,680 Kela et al. (Kela) as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of US Patent no. 6,785,395 Arneson et al. (Arneson).

As to claim 19, Kela teaches the bottom cover connected to a speaker (figure 5). However, Kela fails to teach the speaker is a piezo electric speaker.

In an analogous art, Arneson teaches the speaker is a piezo electric speaker (col. 5 lines 29-46).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kela's system to include a piezo electric speaker, as taught by Arneson, for the advantage of a high free-air resonant frequency (col. 1 lines 40-52).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-8 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. 5,166,868 (Stanton et al.) teaches a molded sealing pad for a radio housing assembly is provided which has an outer sealing portion for sealing the outer periphery of the radio housing.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Au whose telephone number is (571) 272-2822. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GA

LESTER G. KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 9